

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/663,664	CHESS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Carl Colin	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Carl Colin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) John S. Sensny.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
1-22 and 25-44
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's attorney John S. Sensny to correct some informalities found in some of the claims and also to better clarify the limitations in other claims in order to place the application in a better form for allowance. Applicant's attorney agreed and authorized the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.